



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,589	02/25/2002	Tadaaki Yoneda	02860.0704 8702		
22852 75	22852 7590 12/05/2005		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			YE, LIN		
LLP			(
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2615		
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/080,589	YONEDA, TADAAKI
Examiner	Art Unit
Lin Ye	2615

·	Lin Ye	2615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		•	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31: or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri pinally set in the final Officate of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the present of			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12			(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant Amendment (,F10L-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 12 and 14-17.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi rided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See attached.	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		1	>
		Lin Ye	
	•	Examiner Art Unit: 2615	

Application/Control Number: 10/080,589

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/22/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as to claims 12 and 14-17.

For claim 12, the applicant argues that Ogawa (U.S. Patent 6,470,413) does not disclose that "...read out the camera control program in the first storing region while writing or erasing image data in the second storing region" as recited in claim 12, because Ogawa describes recording data as an image file after the execution of a signal processing program; and also the examiner conceded that "the Ogawa reference does not explicitly show when the memory (Flash ROM 15) is in process of rewriting (erasing and writing data operation) operation of data stored therein, a readout operation for another one of them can be simultaneously performed" as recited in the examiner's Office Action dated February 28, 2005, therefore examiner now appears to be alleging the opposite.

The examiner disagrees. Applicant filed an amendment on May 31, 2005, and the applicant deleted the original limitation "... simultaneously performed..." and replaced it by "...while...". It should be noted that the means of "while" is broad than the means of "simultaneously performed". The means of "simultaneously performed" requires all processes (operations) from the first and second region are started performing in same time. The means of "while" can be either all processes are started performing in same time or any one of the processes in the second region started as long as the all the processes in

Art Unit: 2615

first region have not been finished (no need to start performing in same time). The Ogawa reference clearly disclose the signal processing accelerator operates in cooperation with the processing software for the CPU 5, when **one part** or all of the signal processing has been completed, the data is recorded (written) as an image file on the flash ROM 15 (e.g., this shows just part of the signal processing has been completed, the writing operation of image data is already started. See Col. 5, lines 32-36). For those reasons, the Ogawa reference discloses "...read out the camera control program in the first storing region **while** writing or erasing image data in the second storing region" as recited in claim 12.

The applicant also argues that the firmware of Ogawa, which is stored in one storing region, cannot constitute both the claimed "camera control program" and the claimed "camera control data", because the claim 12 requires that "camera control program" and "camera control data" be stored in first and second (i.e., different) storing regions.

The examiner disagrees. The Ogawa reference clearly shows in Figure 8, the firmware (including original and new modules) is stored in different storing regions (module sections) of Flash ROM. The new Module of the firmware is considered as "camera control program" for updating types of CPU program and new function of a camera (See Col. 9, lines 20-27). The original modules of the firmware are considered as "camera control data". The only things that the Ogawa reference does not explicitly states the "camera control data" (the original modules of the firmware) is whether or not recorded during a manufacturing process.

The Helms reference (U.S. 6,344,874) teaches in Figure 1, a camera includes a processor which can and is used to control exposure, timing, aperture, and such typically the processor is preprogrammed at the **manufacture** with its **own firmware** (See Col. 4, lines 66-67 and

Art Unit: 2615

Col. 5, lines 1-3). The Helms reference is evidence that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time to see more advantages the firmware (control data) is recorded during a manufacturing process so that making sure the firmware is specifically for your camera model and manufactures may offer firmware updates that fix camera problems found after its release easily. For that reason, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the camera system of Ogawa ('413) by preprogramming the camera at the manufacture with it own camera control data as taught by Helms ('874).

2. The claims 12 and 14-17 will be rejected as set Final in the previous Office Action mailed on 8/1/2005.

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lin Ye whose telephone number is (571) 272-7372. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/080,589

Art Unit: 2615

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lin Ye Examiner

Art Unit 2615

December 1, 2005